DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General 1 of the State of California MICHAEL A. SHEKEY, Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 500 3 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2520 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 BEFORE THE 7 **BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 In the Matter of the Accusation NO. AC - 96 - 110 Against: DEFAULT DECISION AND 11 ORDER DAVID EDSEL CATES, 12 Respondent. 13 On February 13, 1996, an Accusation was filed by Carol 14 B. Sigmann, Executive Officer (hereinafter referred to as the 15 "Complainant") before the Board of Accountancy (hereinafter 16 referred to as the "Board") of the Department of Consumer Affairs 1.7 of the State of California, against David E. Cates (hereinafter 18 referred to as the "Respondent), holder of Certificate Number 19 18902. 20 On February 28, 1996, the Accusation, along with the 21 Statement to Respondent, Request for Discovery, Notice of 22 Defense, and California Government Code, sections 11507.5, 23 11507.6, and 11507.7 ((hereinafter referred to as the "Accusation 24 Package") was served on Respondent by certified mail, addressed 25

to Respondent at 3408 Centralia, Lakewood, California 90712.

Thereafter, the accompanying "Domestic Return Receipt" card,

26

which was signed by Respondent on the identified date of delivery of February 29, 1996, was returned to the Office of the Attorney General.

Respondent was served with the Accusation Package on February 28, 1996, in a manner authorized by California
Government Code section 11505(c) and California Code of Civil
Procedure, section 11, and acknowledged receipt of same on
February 29, 1996. Respondent has failed to file a Notice of
Defense as permitted by California Government Code, section
11506(a), and has otherwise failed to request a hearing, object
or otherwise contest the Accusation. Respondent has waived his
right to a hearing on the Accusation and is in default. As a
result of Respondent's waiver and pursuant to California
Government Code, section 11520(a), the Board takes action on the
Accusation without hearing based upon the Accusation and
documentary evidence on file, and makes the following findings of
fact and determination of issues.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Complainant, Carol B. Sigmann, Executive Officer of the Board of Accountancy, made and filed the subject Accusation in the above-entitled matter solely in her official capacity. A true and correct copy of the subject February 13, 1996 Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 2. On or about June 22, 1973, Certificate Number 18902 was issued by the Board to the Respondent. Said Certificate expired on July 1, 1994 due to non-payment of the requisite renewal fee and for failure to submit documented evidence of

compliance with requisite continuing education regulations. Said Certificate is not currently in force and effect.

1.7

2.7

- 3. In or about February 1994 Respondent failed to return client records, despite repeated requests by Respondent's clients Raymond Cooper, Jr. of Cooper's Hardware, Inc. and Lisa Adamson of FLAMECO. Respondent ultimately returned the aforementioned client records only after said records were subpoenaed by the Board's Division of Investigation (DOI).
- 4. Respondent had improperly been practicing accountancy with an expired Certificate since July 1, 1994, and as of the date of the filed Accusation, had not taken appropriate action to renew said Certificate.
- 5. Respondent improperly maintained an office for the transaction of business as a public accountant subsequent to July 1, 1994, without holding a valid Certificate. Said Certificate to practice public accountancy had expired on July 1, 1994, without since being renewed.
- 6. Respondent improperly assumed and used the title and designation of "Certified Public Accountant" and used the abbreviation of "CPA" while practicing public accountancy without holding a valid Certificate, as a result of Respondent's Certificate to practice public accountancy having previously expired on July 1, 1994, without subsequent renewal to date.
- 7. Respondent improperly and personally engaged within the state in the practice of public accountancy and in the capacity of a partner with the partnership practice identified as "Abas, Cates & Company, Certified Public Accountants" without

possessing a valid permit to practice public accountancy, as a result of Respondent's Certificate to practice public accountancy 2 having previously expired on July 1, 1994, without subsequent 3 renewal to date. 4 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 5 As a result of the above Findings of Fact, cause 6 for disciplinary action against Respondent exists for violating 7 related sections of the California Business and Professions Code 8 and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, as specifically 9 identified in the subject Accusation (Exhibit "A"). 10 Cause for discipline exists on the grounds that 11 Respondent improperly engaged in the practice of public 12 accountancy and engaged in unprofessional conduct by reason of 13 Findings of Fact Numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. 14 DISCIPLINARY ORDER 15 WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: 16 Accountancy Certificate Number 18902 issued to David E. 1.7 Cates is hereby revoked. 18 Respondent shall not be deprived of making any showing 19 by way of mitigation; however, such showing shall be made to the 20 Board prior to the effective date of this Default Decision. 21 /// 22 23 /// 24 ///

111

111

///

25

26

1	
1	This Default Decision shall become effective on
2	June 17, 1996.
3	
4	DATED: May 17, 1996.
5	U
6	
7	Rosen J Str
8	President Board of Accountancy
9	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
10	A:\Cates.Def
11	
12	
13	•
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 2 MICHAEL A. SHEKEY, State Bar # 143436 Deputy Attorney General 3 300 South Spring Street, 10 North Los Angeles, California 90013 4 Telephone: (213) 897-2520 5 Attorneys for Complainant б 7 BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY 8 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation AC-96-1 NO. Against: 12 ACCUSATION DAVID E. CATES 13 3408 Centralia Lakewood, CA 90712 14 Certificate No. 18902, 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 COMPLAINANT, Carol B. Sigmann, as cause for 19 disciplinary action, alleges: 20 Complainant Carol B. Sigmann ("Complainant") is 21 the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Accounting 22 ("Board") and makes and files this Accusation solely in her 23 official capacity. 24 LICENSE STATUS 25 2. On or about June 22, 1973, Certificate Number 26 18902 was issued by the Board to David E. Cates. 27 Certificate expired on July 1, 1994 due to nonpayment of the

requisite renewal fee and for failure to submit documented evidence of compliance with the requisite continuing education 2 regulations. Said Certificate is not currently in force and 3 effect. 4 5 STATUTES 6 This Accusation is made in reference to the 7 following statutes of the California Business and Professions 8 Code ("Code"): 9 Code Section 5037, in pertinent part, provides the following: 10 11 "All statements, records, schedules, working 12 papers . . . except for records which are 13 part of the client records, shall be and 14 remain the property of the licensee " 15 [Emphasis added.] 16 Code section 5050, in pertinent part, provides the 17 following: 18 "No person shall engage in the practice of 19 public accountancy in this State unless such 20 person is the holder of a valid permit to 21 practice public accounting issued by 22 the board; " 23 c. Code section 5051(b), in pertinent part, provides 24 the following: . 25 "Except as provided in Sections 5052, 5053, 26 and 5054, a person shall be deemed to be

ates.acc

27

2.

engaged in the practice of public accountancy

within the meaning and intent of this chapter if he or she does any of the following:

(b) Maintains an office for the transaction of business as a public accountant."

d. Code section 5055, in pertinent part, provides the following:

"Any person who has received from the board a certificate of certified public accountant and holds a valid permit to practice under the provisions of this chapter shall be styled and known as a "certified public accountant" and may also use the abbreviation 'C.P.A.' No other person, . . . shall assume or use that title, designation or abbreviation or any other title, designation, sign, card, or device tending to indicate that the person using it is a certified public accountant."

e. Code section 5072(b), in pertinent part, provides the following:

" A partnership engaged in this state in the practice of public accountancy may register with the board as a partnership of certified public accountants provided it meets the following requirements:

б

3.

Cates.ac

(b) Each partner personally engaged within this state in the practice of public accountancy must be a licensee in good standing of this state . . . "

f. Code section 5100(f), in pertinent part, provides the following:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit. . . or may censure. . . for unprofessional conduct which includes. . .

(f) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter."

REGULATIONS

- 4. This Accusation is made in reference to the following sections of the California Code of Regulations, Title 16 ("Regulations"):
- a. Regulations section 68, in pertinent part, provides the following:

"A licensee of the board, after demand by or on behalf of a client, for books, records or other data, whether in written or machine sensible form, that are the client's records shall not retain such records. Unpaid fees do not constitute justification for retention

of client records."

b. Regulations section 87(a) in pertinent part, provides the following:

"(a) 80 Hours.

A licensee shall not engage in public practice. . .in California, unless during the two-year period immediately preceding permit renewal the licensee has completed at least 80 hours of qualifying continuing education and submitted the statement required by Section 89. No carryover is permitted from one two-year period to another."

- c. Regulation section 89 provides the following:

 "(a) An applicant must provide a signed

 statement, under penalty of perjury, that all

 applicable continuing education requirements

 have been met.
- (b) All licensees shall maintain their records confirming attendance at and completion of continuing education, including the following information:
- (1) School, firm or organization conducting course
- (2) Location of course
- (3) Title of course or description of content
- (4) Dates

б

9

10_.

12 13

14

15 16

1.7

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

(5) Hours claimed

- (6) Evidence of Completion
- (7) If credit for published articles and books is claimed the following information must be maintained:
 - (A) Publisher
 - (B) Title of publication
 - (C) Description of content
 - (D) Dates of publication
 - (E) Hours claimed
- (c) The committee on continuing education will verify such information on a test basis. Records confirming attendance and completion of continuing education (all information requested in subsection (B), above) must be maintained by each licensee for a period of 4 years after each renewal. Evidence of completion shall take the form of certificates of completion, attendance records, examination, transcripts, or other such independently verifiable evidence acceptable to the Board.
- (d) A licensee's willful making of any false or misleading statement, in writing, regarding his or her continuing education shall constitute cause for disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100(f) of the Accountancy Act."
- d. Regulation section 94, in pertinent part, provides the following:

"Failure to comply with these continuing

education rules by a licensee engaged in public practice, . . . constitutes cause for disciplinary action under Section 5100."

Τ.

5. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under California Business and Professions Code, section 5037, on the ground that despite numerous requests by clients Raymond Cooper, Jr. of Cooper's Hardware, Inc. in or about February 1994 and Lisa Adamson of FLAMECO in or about April 1993, together with Ms. Adamson's attorney, Respondent failed to return client records, until such time that said records were ultimately subpoenaed by the Board's Division of Investigation ("DOI").

II.

6. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under California Business and Professions Code, section 5050, on the ground that Respondent had improperly been practicing public accountancy with an expired permit since July 1, 1994 and, to date, has not taken appropriate action to renew said certificate.

III.

7. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under California Business and Professions Code, section 5051(b), on the ground that Respondent improperly maintained an office for the transaction of business as a public accountant subsequent to July 1, 1994, without holding a valid license. Said permit to practice public accountancy had expired

1.3

on July, 1994, without since being renewed.

8. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under California Business and Professions Code, section 5055, on the ground that Respondent improperly assumed and used the title and designation of "Certified Public Accountant" and used the abbreviation of "C.P.A." while practicing public accountancy without holding a valid license, as result of Respondent's permit to practice public accountancy having expired on July 1, 1994, without subsequent renewal to date.

IV.

V.

g. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under California Business and Professions Code, section 5072(b), on the ground that Respondent improperly and personally engaged within the state in the practice of public accountancy and in the capacity of a partner with the partnership practice identified as "Abas, Cates & Company Certified Public Accountants," without possessing a valid permit to practice public accountancy, as a result of Respondent's permit to practice public accountancy having expired on July 1, 1994, without subsequent renewal to date.

VI.

10. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under California Business and Professions Code, sections 5100(f), on the ground that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as delineated in the aforementioned

paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, and as a consequence thereof, has subjected his permit to discipline including, but not limited to, revocation, suspension, refusal of renewal request, or censure.

VII.

11. Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under Section 68 of the California Code of Regulations, Title 16, on the ground that Respondent failed to comply with the demand for client records as delineated within paragraph 5 above.

VIII.

discipline under Section 87(a) of the California Code of Regulations, Title 16, on the ground that Respondent failed to provide evidence of continuing education hours, after a formal request, and having previously certified on his most recent renewal application, under penalty of perjury, that he had completed the requisite 80 hours of continuing education.

IX.

discipline under Section 89 of the California Code of Regulation, Title 16, on the ground that Respondent failed to maintain documented evidence which would confirm attendance and completion of qualifying continuing education for the requisite period of time.

. 9

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Respondent has subjected his Certificate to discipline under Section 94 of the California Code of Regulations, Title 16, on the ground that Respondent failed to comply with the continuing education rules as delineated in paragraphs 11, 12 and 13 above, thereby subjecting his permit to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code, section 5100.

As a result of the circumstances described in paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14, Respondent is subject to discipline under Code sections 5037, 5050, 5051(b), 5055, 5072(b), 5100(f), and under Regulations sections 68, 87(a), 89, and 94, including, but not limited to, revocation, suspension, refusal of renewal request, or censure.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be held on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, a decision be issued:

- Revoking, suspending, censuring or refusing to 1. renew Certificate Number 18902, heretofore issued to Respondent David E. Cates; and
- Taking such other and further action as the Board 2. deems proper.

DATED: Jehrusry 13, 1996

CAROL B. Executive Officer

Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California